Floating Point

- Representation for non-integral numbers
	- **Including very small and very large numbers**
- **Like scientific notation**

In binary

- ±1.*xxxxxxx₂ × 2^{yyyy}*
- **Types float and double in C**

Floating Point Standard

- Defined by IEEE Std 754-1985
- Developed in response to divergence of representations
	- Portability issues for scientific code
- **Now almost universally adopted**
- Two representations
	- Single precision (32-bit)
	- Double precision (64-bit)

IEEE Floating-Point Format

 ${\mathsf x} \,=\, (-\,1\, \bigl) \, \frac{{\mathsf S}}{\times} \,(1 \,+\, {\mathsf{Fraction}}) \quad \times 2^{\,(\text{\sf{Exponent}} \quad \, - \text{\sf Bias})}$ $-$ Bias)

- S: sign bit (0 \Rightarrow non-negative, 1 \Rightarrow negative)
- Normalize significand: $1.0 \le$ |significand| \le 2.0
	- Always has a leading pre-binary-point 1 bit, so no need to represent it explicitly (hidden bit)
	- Significand is Fraction with the "1." restored
- Exponent: excess representation: actual exponent + Bias
	- **Ensures exponent is unsigned**
	- Single: Bias = 127; Double: Bias = 1203

Single-Precision Range

- Exponents 00000000 and 11111111 reserved
- Smallest value
	- **Exponent: 00000001**
		- \Rightarrow actual exponent = 1 127 = –126
	- Fraction: $000...00 \Rightarrow$ significand = 1.0
	- $±1.0 \times 2^{-126} \approx ±1.2 \times 10^{-38}$
- **Largest value**
	- **Exponent: 11111110** \Rightarrow actual exponent = 254 – 127 = +127
	- Fraction: 111…11 \Rightarrow significand \approx 2.0
	- $\pm 2.0 \times 2^{+127} \approx \pm 3.4 \times 10^{+38}$

Double-Precision Range

- Exponents 0000…00 and 1111…11 reserved
- Smallest value
	- **Exponent: 00000000001**
		- \Rightarrow actual exponent = 1 1023 = –1022
	- Fraction: $000...00 \Rightarrow$ significand = 1.0
	- $±1.0 \times 2^{-1022} \approx ±2.2 \times 10^{-308}$
- **Largest value**
	- **Exponent: 1111111110** \Rightarrow actual exponent = 2046 - 1023 = +1023
	- Fraction: 111…11 \Rightarrow significand \approx 2.0
	- $\pm 2.0 \times 2^{+1023} \approx \pm 1.8 \times 10^{+308}$

Floating-Point Precision

- Relative precision
	- all fraction bits are significant
	- Single: approx 2^{-23}
		- Equivalent to 23 \times log₁₀2 \approx 23 \times 0.3 \approx 6 decimal digits of precision
	- Double: approx 2^{-52}
		- Equivalent to 52 \times log₁₀2 \approx 52 \times 0.3 \approx 16 decimal digits of precision

Floating-Point Example

- Represent –0.75
	- $-0.75 = (-1)^1 \times 1.1^2 \times 2^{-1}$
	- $S = 1$
	- Fraction = $1000...00$
	- Exponent $= -1 + Bias$
		- Single: $-1 + 127 = 126 = 01111110$
		- Double: $-1 + 1023 = 1022 = 01111111110$
- Single: 1011111101000…00
- Double: 1011111111101000...00

Floating-Point Example

- What number is represented by the singleprecision float
	- 11000000101000…00
	- $S = 1$
	- Fraction = $01000...00$
	- **Fxponent = 10000001**₂ = 129

$$
\mathbf{x} = (-1)^{1} \times (1 + 01_{2}) \times 2^{(129 - 127)}
$$

= (-1) \times 1.25 \times 2^{2}
= -5.0

Denormal Numbers

■ Exponent =
$$
000...0
$$
 ⇒ hidden bit is 0

$$
x = (-1)S \times (0 + Fraction) \times 2-Bias
$$

- Smaller than normal numbers
	- allow for gradual underflow, with diminishing precision

Denormal with fraction $= 000...0$

$$
x = (-1)S \times (0 + 0) \times 2-Bias = \pm 0.0
$$

Two representations of 0.0!

Infinities and NaNs

- Exponent = $111...1$, Fraction = $000...0$
	- ±Infinity
	- Can be used in subsequent calculations, avoiding need for overflow check
- Exponent = 111...1, Fraction $\neq 000...0$
	- Not-a-Number (NaN)
	- **Indicates illegal or undefined result** e.g., 0.0 / 0.0
	- Can be used in subsequent calculations

Floating-Point Addition

- Consider a 4-digit decimal example
	- $9.999 \times 10^{1} + 1.610 \times 10^{-1}$
- **1. Align decimal points**
	- Shift number with smaller exponent
	- $9.999 \times 10^{1} + 0.016 \times 10^{1}$
- 2. Add significands
	- 9.999 \times 10¹ + 0.016 \times 10¹ = 10.015 \times 10¹
- 3. Normalize result & check for over/underflow
	- 1.0015×10^{2}
- 4. Round and renormalize if necessary
	- 1.002×10^{2}

Floating-Point Addition

- Now consider a 4-digit binary example
	- 1.000₂ × 2⁻¹ + -1.110₂ × 2⁻² (0.5 + -0.4375)
- **1. Align binary points**
	- Shift number with smaller exponent
	- $-1.000₂ \times 2^{-1}$ + -0.111₂ $\times 2^{-1}$
- 2. Add significands
	- 1.000₂ × 2⁻¹ + -0.111₂ × 2⁻1 = 0.001₂ × 2⁻¹
- 3. Normalize result & check for over/underflow
	- 1.000₂ \times 2⁻⁴, with no over/underflow
- 4. Round and renormalize if necessary
	- **1.000**₂ \times 2⁻⁴ (no change) = 0.0625

Floating-Point Multiplication

- Consider a 4-digit decimal example
	- $1.110 \times 10^{10} \times 9.200 \times 10^{-5}$
- 1. Add exponents
	- For biased exponents, subtract bias from sum
	- New exponent = $10 + -5 = 5$
- **2. Multiply significands**
	- $1.110 \times 9.200 = 10.212 \implies 10.212 \times 10^{5}$
- 3. Normalize result & check for over/underflow
	- 1.0212×10^{6}
- 4. Round and renormalize if necessary
	- 1.021×10^6
- 5. Determine sign of result from signs of operands
	- $+1.021 \times 10^6$

Floating-Point Multiplication

- Now consider a 4-digit binary example
	- 1.000₂ × 2⁻¹ × -1.110₂ × 2⁻² (0.5 × -0.4375)
- **1. Add exponents**
	- Unbiased: $-1 + -2 = -3$
	- Biased: $(-1 + 127) + (-2 + 127) = -3 + 254 127 = -3 + 127$
- **2. Multiply significands**
	- 1.000₂ × 1.110₂ = 1.1102 \Rightarrow 1.110₂ × 2⁻³
- 3. Normalize result & check for over/underflow
	- 1.110₂ \times 2⁻³ (no change) with no over/underflow
- **4. Round and renormalize if necessary**
	- **1.110**₂ \times 2⁻³ (no change)
- 5. Determine sign: $+ve \times -ve \Rightarrow -ve$
	- $-1.110_2 \times 2^{-3} = -0.21875$

Accurate Arithmetic

- IEEE Std 754 specifies additional rounding control
	- Extra bits of precision (guard, round, sticky)
	- Choice of rounding modes
	- Allows programmer to fine-tune numerical behavior of a computation
- **Not all FP units implement all options**
	- Most programming languages and FP libraries just use defaults
- **Trade-off between hardware complexity,** performance, and market requirements

Associativity

 Parallel programs may interleave operations in unexpected orders

■ Assumptions of associativity may fail

 Need to validate parallel programs under varying degrees of parallelism

Who Cares About FP Accuracy?

- Important for scientific code
	- But for everyday consumer use?
		- "My bank balance is out by 0.0002ϕ !" \odot
- The Intel Pentium FDIV bug
	- The market expects accuracy
	- See Colwell, *The Pentium Chronicles*