Floating Point

- Representation for non-integral numbers
 Including very small and very large numbers
- Like scientific notation



In binary

- $\pm 1.xxxxxx_2 \times 2^{yyyy}$
- Types float and double in C

Floating Point Standard

- Defined by IEEE Std 754-1985
- Developed in response to divergence of representations
 - Portability issues for scientific code
- Now almost universally adopted
- Two representations
 - Single precision (32-bit)
 - Double precision (64-bit)

IEEE Floating-Point Format

| single: 8 bits double: 11 bits | | single: 23 bits double: 52 bits | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|--|
| S | Exponent | Fraction | |

 $x = (-1)^{S} \times (1 + Fraction) \times 2^{(Exponent - Bias)}$

- S: sign bit ($0 \Rightarrow$ non-negative, $1 \Rightarrow$ negative)
- Normalize significand: 1.0 ≤ |significand| < 2.0</p>
 - Always has a leading pre-binary-point 1 bit, so no need to represent it explicitly (hidden bit)
 - Significand is Fraction with the "1." restored
- Exponent: excess representation: actual exponent + Bias
 - Ensures exponent is unsigned
 - Single: Bias = 127; Double: Bias = 1203

Single-Precision Range

- Exponents 00000000 and 11111111 reserved
- Smallest value
 - Exponent: 00000001
 - \Rightarrow actual exponent = 1 127 = -126
 - Fraction: $000...00 \Rightarrow$ significand = 1.0
 - $\pm 1.0 \times 2^{-126} \approx \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-38}$
- Largest value
 - exponent: 11111110 \Rightarrow actual exponent = 254 - 127 = +127
 - Fraction: $111...11 \Rightarrow$ significand ≈ 2.0
 - $\pm 2.0 \times 2^{+127} \approx \pm 3.4 \times 10^{+38}$

Double-Precision Range

- Exponents 0000...00 and 1111...11 reserved
- Smallest value
 - Exponent: 0000000001
 - \Rightarrow actual exponent = 1 1023 = -1022
 - Fraction: $000...00 \Rightarrow$ significand = 1.0
 - $\pm 1.0 \times 2^{-1022} \approx \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-308}$
- Largest value
 - Exponent: 1111111110
 ⇒ actual exponent = 2046 1023 = +1023
 - Fraction: $111...11 \Rightarrow$ significand ≈ 2.0
 - $\pm 2.0 \times 2^{\pm 1023} \approx \pm 1.8 \times 10^{\pm 308}$

Floating-Point Precision

- **Relative precision**
 - all fraction bits are significant
 - Single: approx 2⁻²³
 - Equivalent to 23 × log₁₀2 ≈ 23 × 0.3 ≈ 6 decimal digits of precision
 - Double: approx 2⁻⁵²
 - Equivalent to 52 × log₁₀2 ≈ 52 × 0.3 ≈ 16 decimal digits of precision

Floating-Point Example

- Represent -0.75
 - $-0.75 = (-1)^1 \times 1.1_2 \times 2^{-1}$
 - S = 1
 - Fraction = $1000...00_2$
 - Exponent = -1 + Bias
 - Single: $-1 + 127 = 126 = 01111110_2$
 - Double: $-1 + 1023 = 1022 = 0111111110_2$
- Single: 1011111101000...00
- Double: 10111111110100...00

Floating-Point Example

- What number is represented by the singleprecision float
 - 1100000101000...00
 - S = 1
 - Fraction = 01000...00₂
 - Fxponent = $10000001_2 = 129$

•
$$X = (-1)^{1} \times (1 + 01_{2}) \times 2^{(129 - 127)}$$

= $(-1) \times 1.25 \times 2^{2}$
= -5.0

Denormal Numbers

Exponent =
$$000...0 \Rightarrow$$
 hidden bit is 0

$$x = (-1)^{S} \times (0 + Fraction) \times 2^{-Bias}$$

- Smaller than normal numbers
 - allow for gradual underflow, with diminishing precision
- Denormal with fraction = 000...0

$$\mathbf{x} = (-1)^{S} \times (0 + 0) \times 2^{-Bias} = \pm 0.0$$

Two representations
of 0.0!

Infinities and NaNs

- Exponent = 111...1, Fraction = 000...0
 - ±Infinity
 - Can be used in subsequent calculations, avoiding need for overflow check
- Exponent = 111...1, Fraction ≠ 000...0
 - Not-a-Number (NaN)
 - Indicates illegal or undefined result
 e.g., 0.0 / 0.0
 - Can be used in subsequent calculations

Floating-Point Addition

- Consider a 4-digit decimal example
 - 9.999 × 10¹ + 1.610 × 10⁻¹
- 1. Align decimal points
 - Shift number with smaller exponent
 - 9.999 × 10¹ + 0.016 × 10¹
- 2. Add significands
 - $9.999 \times 10^{1} + 0.016 \times 10^{1} = 10.015 \times 10^{1}$
- 3. Normalize result & check for over/underflow
 - 1.0015 × 10²
- 4. Round and renormalize if necessary
 - 1.002 × 10²

Floating-Point Addition

- Now consider a 4-digit binary example
 - $\bullet 1.000_2 \times 2^{-1} + -1.110_2 \times 2^{-2} (0.5 + -0.4375)$
- 1. Align binary points
 - Shift number with smaller exponent
 - $1.000_2 \times 2^{-1} + -0.111_2 \times 2^{-1}$
- 2. Add significands
 - $1.000_2 \times 2^{-1} + -0.111_2 \times 2^{-1} = 0.001_2 \times 2^{-1}$
- 3. Normalize result & check for over/underflow
 - $1.000_2 \times 2^{-4}$, with no over/underflow
- 4. Round and renormalize if necessary
 - $1.000_2 \times 2^{-4}$ (no change) = 0.0625

Floating-Point Multiplication

- Consider a 4-digit decimal example
 - 1.110 × 10¹⁰ × 9.200 × 10⁻⁵
- 1. Add exponents
 - For biased exponents, subtract bias from sum
 - New exponent = 10 + -5 = 5
- 2. Multiply significands
 - $1.110 \times 9.200 = 10.212 \implies 10.212 \times 10^5$
- 3. Normalize result & check for over/underflow
 - 1.0212 × 10⁶
- 4. Round and renormalize if necessary
 - 1.021 × 10⁶
- 5. Determine sign of result from signs of operands
 - +1.021 × 10⁶

Floating-Point Multiplication

- Now consider a 4-digit binary example
 - 1.000₂ × 2⁻¹ × −1.110₂ × 2⁻² (0.5 × −0.4375)
- 1. Add exponents
 - Unbiased: -1 + -2 = -3
 - Biased: (-1 + 127) + (-2 + 127) = -3 + 254 127 = -3 + 127
- 2. Multiply significands
 - $1.000_2 \times 1.110_2 = 1.1102 \implies 1.110_2 \times 2^{-3}$
- 3. Normalize result & check for over/underflow
 - $1.110_2 \times 2^{-3}$ (no change) with no over/underflow
- 4. Round and renormalize if necessary
 - 1.110₂ × 2⁻³ (no change)
- 5. Determine sign: +ve × –ve \Rightarrow –ve
 - $-1.110_2 \times 2^{-3} = -0.21875$

Accurate Arithmetic

- IEEE Std 754 specifies additional rounding control
 - Extra bits of precision (guard, round, sticky)
 - Choice of rounding modes
 - Allows programmer to fine-tune numerical behavior of a computation
- Not all FP units implement all options
 - Most programming languages and FP libraries just use defaults
- Trade-off between hardware complexity, performance, and market requirements

Associativity

Parallel programs may interleave operations in unexpected orders

Assumptions of associativity may fail

| | | (x+y)+z | x+(y+z) |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Х | -1.50E+38 | | -1.50E+38 |
| У | 1.50E+38 | 0.00E+00 | |
| Z | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.50E+38 |
| | | 1.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 |

Need to validate parallel programs under varying degrees of parallelism

Who Cares About FP Accuracy?

Important for scientific code

- But for everyday consumer use?
 - "My bank balance is out by 0.0002¢!" ☺
- The Intel Pentium FDIV bug
 - The market expects accuracy
 - See Colwell, *The Pentium Chronicles*